. 1		13 *
	ENTERED	RRM FOR OREGON
1	MAY - 9 2012	TOTAL PAY 1: 3
2	IN REGISTER BY	RRM CON
3		P GTATE OF OPECON
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TH	E STATE OF OREGON
5	FOR MULTNOMAH	COUNTY 05857
6	T.R., an individual proceeding under a fictitious	Case No. 1205-05857
7	name; A.C., an individual proceeding under a) fictitious name; M.D., an individual proceeding) under a fictitious name; and H.G., an individual)	05857 COMPLAINT
8	proceeding under a fictitious name,	(Sexual Abuse of a Child / Respondeat
9	Plaintiffs,)	Superior, Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress / Respondeat
10	v.)	Superior, Negligence, Fraud)
11	THE BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA, a) congressionally chartered corporation,)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
12	authorized to do business in Oregon; and) CASCADE PACIFIC COUNCIL, BOY)	
13	SCOUTS OF AMERICA, an Oregon non-profit) corporation,	Not Subject to Mandatory Arbitration
14	Defendants.)	Prayer Amount: \$21,000,000
15)	
16	(Common Allega	ations):
17	1.	
18	Plaintiff T.R. is an adult male born in the year	10/16/61. Plaintiff A.C. is an adult male
19	born in the year 1964. Plaintiff M.D. is an adult male	born in the year 1962. Plaintiff H.G. is an
20	adult male born in the year 1962. Plaintiff T.R., Plain	tiff A.C., Plaintiff M.D. and Plaintiff H.G.
21	will hereinafter be referred to collectively as "Plaintif	fs." (The phrase "each Plaintiff" will refer
22	to each and every Plaintiff named in the caption of thi	is Complaint.) At all times relevant to the
23	acts alleged in this complaint Plaintiffs were unemand	cipated minors who were invited to
24	participate in meetings, events, and activities promote	ed or sponsored by Defendants or agents of
25	the Defendants.	
26	•	

FREMONT PLACE II 1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Suite 302 Portland, Oregon 97200 Telephone: (503) 306-0224 FAX: (503) 306-0257

Page 1 COMPLAINT

2	Defendant Boy Scouts of America (hereinafter "Defendant BSA") is a congressionally-
3	chartered corporation authorized to do business in Oregon. Defendant Cascade Pacific Council,
4	Boy Scouts of America (hereinafter "Defendant CPC") is an Oregon nonprofit corporation.
5	Defendant BSA and Defendant CPC will be referred to collectively as "Defendants." At all
6	times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants invited participation of boys, including Plaintiffs in
7	this case, in their Scouting program and selected adults to serve as Scout Leaders.
8	3.
9	At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants selected or accepted Steven Terry Hill
10	(hereinafter "Hill") for the position of Scoutmaster, or in a similar capacity, for the Boy Scout
11	Troop to which Plaintiffs belonged. As a Scout Leader, Hill's role was to educate, mentor,
12	befriend, counsel, and train young boys like Plaintiffs in morality, patriotism, and various life
13	skills.
14	4.
15	Defendants empowered Hill to perform all duties of a Scout Leader including the power
16	to provide instruction, counseling, moral guidance, physical supervision of boys participating in
17	Boy Scout's programs and activities, and the power to enforce the rules governing the boys'
18	participation, as well as other duties. Defendants knew that as part of his duty as a Scout Leader,
19	Hill would be in a position of trust, confidence, and authority over the boys involved, including
20	Plaintiffs in this case. Defendants retained the right to control the means and methods used by
21	Scout Leaders in fulfilling these duties for Defendants.
22	5.
23	While performing duties as a Scout Leader, and for the purpose of furthering his duties
24	required in that role, Hill befriended Plaintiffs; gained the trust and confidence of Plaintiffs and
25	their families as instructors, guides, mentors, counselors, and authority figures; and gained the
26	permission, acquiescence, and support of Plaintiffs' families to spend substantial periods of time

O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP

alone with Plaintiffs. As a result, Plaintiffs were conditioned to trust Hill to comply with his directions, and to respect Hill as a person of authority in moral and ethical matters. This course 2 of conduct is referred to in this Complaint as "Grooming." 3 6. 4 Using his authority and position of trust as Scout Leader, and through the Grooming 5 process, Hill induced and directed Plaintiffs to engage in various sexual acts with Hill. Hill's 6 Grooming and/or Hill's authorized activities as a Scout Leader led to and resulted in the sexual 7 abuse of Plaintiffs, discussed below. Hill's Grooming actions and/or his actions as a Scout 8 Leader to Plaintiffs were (1) committed in direct connection and for the purposes of fulfilling his 9 employment and agency with Defendants; (2) committed within the time and space limits of his 10 agency as a Scout Leader; (3) done initially and at least in part from a desire to serve the interests 11 of Defendants; (4) done directly in the performance of his duties as a Scout Leader; (5) consisted 12 generally of actions of a kind and nature which Hill was required to perform as a Scout Leader; 13 and (6) done at the direction of, and pursuant to, the power vested in him by the Defendants. 14 Defendants, through their agents, had a right to control Hill's Grooming of children in Scouting 15 as a Scout Leader and/or his interactions with those children as a Scout Leader. 16 7. 17 Hill engaged in intentional conduct resulting in one or more of the following effects: 18 physical injury, mental injury, rape, sexual abuse, and/or sexual exploitation of Plaintiffs as those 19 terms are used in ORS 12.117. Specifically: 20 Hill sexually abused and molested Plaintiff T.R. between 1976 and 1977, (a) 21 including fondling, masturbation, and oral sex. 22 Hill sexually abused and molested Plaintiff A.C. between 1976 and 1977, (b) 23 including fondling and oral sex. 24 Hill sexually abused and molested Plaintiff M.D. between 1976 and 1977, (c) 25 including fondling and oral sex. 26 O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP

. 1	" (d)	Hill sexually abused and molested Plaintiff H.G., between 1976 and 1977,
2		including fondling, masturbation, oral sex and anal sex.
3		8.
4	As a re	esult of Hill's sexual abuse, molestation, and breach of authority, trust, and position
5	as Scout Lead	er, each Plaintiff suffered non-economic damages as follows:
6	(a)	Plaintiff T.R. suffered and/or continues to suffer severe and debilitating physical,
7		mental, and emotional injury, including pain and suffering, physical and
8	·	emotional trauma, and permanent psychological damage, all to his non-economic
. 9		damages in the amount of \$5,000,000.00, the exact amount of which will be
10		proven at the time of trial;
11	(b)	Plaintiff A.C. suffered and/or continues to suffer severe and debilitating physical,
12		mental, and emotional injury, including pain and suffering, physical and
13		emotional trauma, and permanent psychological damage, all to his non-economic
14		damages in the amount of \$5,000,000.00, the exact amount of which will be
15		proven at the time of trial;
16	(c)	Plaintiff M.D. suffered and/or continues to suffer severe and debilitating physical,
17		mental, and emotional injury, including pain and suffering, physical and
18		emotional trauma, and permanent psychological damage, all to his non-economic
19		damages in the amount of \$5,000,000.00, the exact amount of which will be
20		proven at the time of trial; and
21	(d)	Plaintiff H.G. suffered and/or continues to suffer severe and debilitating physical,
22		mental, and emotional injury, including pain and suffering, physical and
23		emotional trauma, and permanent psychological damage, all to his non-economic
24		damages in the amount of \$5,000,000.00, the exact amount of which will be
25		proven at the time of trial.
26		

FREMONT PLACE II 1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Suite 302 Portland, Oregon 97209 Telephone: (503) 306-0224 FAX: (503) 306-0257

Page 4 COMPLAINT

2	As an a	additional result and consequence of Hill's sexual abuse, each Plaintiff suffered
3	economic dam	ages as follows:
4	(a)	Plaintiff T.R. incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling,
5		psychiatric and psychological medical treatment all to his economic damages in
6		the approximate amount of \$250,000.00, the exact amount of which will be
7		proven at the time of trial.
8	(b)	Plaintiff A.C. incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling,
9		psychiatric and psychological medical treatment all to his economic damages in
10		the approximate amount of \$250,000.00, the exact amount of which will be
11	•	proven at the time of trial;
12	(c)	Plaintiff M.D. incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling,
13		psychiatric and psychological medical treatment all to his economic damages in
14		the approximate amount of \$250,000.00, the exact amount of which will be
15		proven at the time of trial; and
16	(d)	Plaintiff H.G. incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling,
17		psychiatric and psychological medical treatment all to his economic damages in
18		the approximate amount of \$250,000.00, the exact amount of which will be
19		proven at the time of trial.
20		10.
21	In 201	1, Plaintiff T.R. discovered the causal connection between his abuse, as set forth in
22	paragraphs 6	and 7 above, and the damages suffered as a result of the abuse, as set forth in
23	paragraphs 8	and 9, above. Prior to 2011, Plaintiff T.R. did not discover, and could not
24	reasonably ha	ave discovered, the causal connection between the abuse and the damages he
25	suffered as a	result of the abuse. The psychological effects of the abuse Plaintiff T.R. suffered
26	prevented Pla	aintiff T.R. from discovering the causal connection between the abuse and the

O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP

damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. 11. 2 In 2011, Plaintiff A.C. discovered the causal connection between his abuse, as set forth in 3 paragraphs 6 and 7 above, and the damages suffered as a result of the abuse, as set forth in 4 paragraphs 8 and 9, above. Prior to 2011, Plaintiff A.C. did not discover, and could not 5 reasonably have discovered, the causal connection between the abuse and the damages he 6 suffered as a result of the abuse. The psychological effects of the abuse Plaintiff A.C. suffered 7 prevented Plaintiff A.C. from discovering the causal connection between the abuse and the 8 damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. 9 12. 10 In 2011, Plaintiff M.D. discovered the causal connection between his abuse, as set forth 11 in paragraphs 6 and 7 above, and the damages suffered as a result of the abuse, as set forth in 12 paragraphs 8 and 9, above. Prior to 2011, Plaintiff M.D. did not discover, and could not 13 reasonably have discovered, the causal connection between the abuse and the damages he 14 suffered as a result of the abuse. The psychological effects of the abuse Plaintiff M.D. suffered 15 prevented Plaintiff M.D. from discovering the causal connection between the abuse and the 16 damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. 17 13. 18 In 2011, Plaintiff H.G. discovered the causal connection between his abuse, as set forth in 19 paragraphs 6 and 7 above, and the damages suffered as a result of the abuse, as set forth in 20 paragraphs 8 and 9, above. Prior to 2011, Plaintiff H.G.did not discover, and could not 21 reasonably have discovered, the causal connection between the abuse and the damages he 22 suffered as a result of the abuse. The psychological effects of the abuse Plaintiff H.G. suffered 23 prevented Plaintiff H.G. from discovering the causal connection between the abuse and the 24 damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. 25

O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP

FREMONT PLACE II 1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Suite 302 Portland, Oregon 97209 Telephone: (503) 306-0224 FAX: (503) 306-0257

26

1	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Each Plaintiff Against All Defendants Child Page and Child Page and Control of the Child Page and Chi
2	(Sexual Battery of a Child/Respondeat Superior)
3	14.
4	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 13, above.
5	15.
6	While acting in the course and scope of his agency for Defendants, Hill induced and
7	directed Plaintiffs to engage in various sexual acts with Hill, as set forth in paragraphs 6 and 7,
8	above. These acts constituted a harmful or offensive touching of Plaintiffs to which Plaintiffs
9	could not consent.
10	16.
1	As result and consequence of Hill's sexual battery, Plaintiffs have each incurred damages
12	as set forth in paragraphs 8 and 9, above.
13	17.
14	In molesting Plaintiffs, Hill acted with malice or a reckless and outrageous indifference to
15	a highly unreasonable risk of harm and with a conscious indifference to the health, safety and
16	welfare of Plaintiffs. Punitive damages against an agent are attributable to a principal when a tort
17	is committed in the course and scope of agency. Each Plaintiff hereby provides notice of his
18	intent to move to add allegations of punitive damages against Defendants at any time after the
19	filing of this Complaint.
20	
21	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
22	Each Plaintiff Against All Defendants (Negligence)
23	18.
24	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 17, above.
25	
26	

FREMONT PLACE II 1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Suite 302 Portland, Oregon 97209 Telephone: (503) 306-0224 FAX: (503) 306-0257

Page 7 COMPLAINT

. 1	·	(COUNT I)
2		19.
3	Defend	ants created a special relationship with each Plaintiff by inviting and encouraging
4	each Plaintiff to	o participate in Boy Scout activities with Scout Leader Hill. This special
5	relationship cre	eated a duty of care on the part of Defendants to ensure each Plaintiff's safety
6	while participa	ting in Defendants' activities. Alternatively or in conjunction with the above,
7	Defendants aff	irmatively created a dangerous condition in Plaintiffs' Troop by not excluding Hill
8	from participat	ion in Scouting after learning of Hill's molestation of Scouts as described in
9	paragraph 20, l	below.
10		20.
11.	Prior to	installing Hill as a Scout Leader in Plaintiffs' troop – and therefore prior to all of
12	the abuse suffe	ered by each Plaintiff at the hands of Hill - Defendants were aware that, while
13	acting as a Sco	out Leader, Hill had sexually abused a boy scout in California. Despite the
14	knowledge tha	t Hill had previously abused a boy scout in California and was abusing boys in
15	Plaintiffs' troc	p, Defendants nevertheless failed to exclude Hill from contact with Scouts.
16		21.
17		lants created a foreseeable risk of Hill abusing Scouts, including Plaintiffs, by
18	failing to unde	ertake reasonable child abuse prevention measures in the following particular ways:
19	1.	Defendants failed to notify all Scout parents, including the parents of
20		Plaintiffs, about Hill's history of abuse and dangerousness;
21	2.	Defendants failed to report Hill to law enforcement;
22	3.	Defendants failed to train Scout Leaders, parents, and Scouts in how to
23		recognize, report, and prevent child abuse;
24	4.	Defendants failed to implement common sense child abuse prevention policies;
25		and
26		
ž		O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP
Page	8 COM	FREMONT PLACE II 1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Suite 302 Portland, Oregon 97209 Telephone: (503) 306-0224 PLAINT FAX: (503) 306-0257

Exhibit A - Page 8 of 18

Defendants failed to exclude Hill from acting as a Scout Leader or otherwise 5. having contact with Scouts. 2 These actions were a substantial contributing and causal factor to the abuse of each Plaintiff. 3 22. 4 It was foreseeable to Defendants that known child predators would continue to engage in 5 serial abuse of minors under their care. Each Plaintiff was a member of the class of individuals 6 to be protected by reasonable child abuse prevention measures in Scouting as described in 7 paragraph 21, above, and such measures would have prevented some or all of each Plaintiff's 8 abuse. 9 23. 10 Defendants' acquiescence to Hill's continued involvement in Boy Scout activities after 11 learning of Hill's abuse of boys as described in paragraph 20 created a foreseeable risk of harm to 12 the safety of children in the care of the Defendants, including Plaintiffs. Defendants therefore 13 knowingly allowed, permitted, or encouraged child abuse. Each Plaintiff's interest in being free 14 from sexual molestation is an interest of a kind that the law protects against negligent invasion. 15 Defendants' failure to undertake reasonable child abuse prevention measures as described in 16 paragraph 21, above, was unreasonable in light of the risk posed by Hill to minor boys, including 17 Plaintiffs, and these failures were direct and foreseeable causes of some part of each Plaintiffs' 18 molestation and damages as alleged in paragraphs 6, 7, 8, and 9, above. 19 24. 20 In 2011, each Plaintiff discovered the Defendants' negligence, as described in paragraphs 21 18 through 23, above. Specifically, in 2011, each Plaintiff learned that Defendants had 22 knowledge about the institution-wide problem of Scout leaders sexually abusing Scouts prior to 23 the abuse Plaintiffs suffered. Moreover, in 2011, each Plaintiff learned that, despite this 24 knowledge, Defendants failed to protect Plaintiffs from or warn Plaintiffs about the risk of sexual 25 abuse in Scouting. Finally, in 2011, each Plaintiff discovered these Defendants' causal role in 26 O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP

1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Suite 302 Portland, Oregon 97209 Telephone: (503) 306-0224 FAX: (503) 306-0257

the injuries he suffered as described in paragraph 6 through 9, above. Prior to 2011, Plaintiffs did not learn about the Defendants' negligence or its causal role in the injuries each Plaintiff 2 suffered, and a reasonable inquiry by a teenage victim of sexual abuse would not have revealed 3 this information. Accordingly, each Plaintiff's claims are timely. 4 25. 5 In acting or failing to act as alleged in paragraphs 18 through 24, above, Defendants acted 6 with a reckless and outrageous indifference to a highly unreasonable risk of harm and with a 7 conscious indifference to the health, safety and welfare of Plaintiffs. Each Plaintiff hereby 8 provides notice of his intent to move to add allegations of punitive damages against Defendants 9 at any time after the filing of this Complaint. 10 11 (COUNT II) 12 26. 13 Defendants created a special relationship with each Plaintiff by inviting and encouraging 14 him to participate in Boy Scout activities with their Scout Leaders Hill. That relationship created 15 a duty on the part of Defendants to ensure that Scouting programs were made as reasonably safe 16 as possible from known dangers. Alternatively or in conjunction with the above, from at least 17 the 1960's, if not earlier, Defendants knew that Scout Leader positions were being used by 18 predatory child molesters to victimize children, and that Defendants had an institution-wide or 19 systemic child abuse problem. Despite this knowledge, Defendants failed to warn parents and 20 Scouts of the risk of child molestation inherent to the Scouting program, failed to implement 21 reasonable child abuse prevention measures in Scouting, as described in paragraph 21, above, 22 and failed to change their process for selecting and monitoring Scout Leaders. Defendants knew 23 that such failures would reasonably lead to at least some number of other boys being sexually 24 abused by Scout Leaders while participating in the Boy Scouts. 25 26

O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP

2	Defendants' negligence was a substantial contributing and causal factor to the abuse of
3	Plaintiffs. Because of the duration and consistency of child molestation in Scouting, Defendants'
4	knowing failure to warn, implement reasonable child abuse prevention measures in Scouting (as
5	described in paragraph 21), or change screening or monitoring procedures created a foreseeable
6	risk of harm to the safety of children in the care of Defendants, including Plaintiffs in this case.
7	Each Plaintiff was a member of the class of individuals to be protected by a warning about
8	Scouting's dangers, by alternate child abuse policies, and by screening, and/or monitoring of
9	Scout Leaders. Such policies and procedures would have protected each Plaintiff from some or
10	all of his abuse.
11	28.
12	Defendants' institutional negligence was a direct and foreseeable cause of each Plaintiff's
13	molestation and damages as alleged in paragraphs 6, 7, 8, and 9, above.
14	29.
15	In 2011, each Plaintiff discovered the Defendants' negligence, as described in paragraphs
16	26 through 28, above. Specifically, in 2011, each Plaintiff learned that Defendants had
17	knowledge about the institution-wide problem of Scout leaders sexually abusing Scouts prior to
18	the abuse each Plaintiff suffered. Moreover, in 2011, each Plaintiff learned that, despite this
19	knowledge, Defendants failed to protect Plaintiffs from or warn Plaintiffs about the risk of sexua
20	abuse in Scouting. Finally, in 2011, each Plaintiff discovered these Defendants' causal role in
21	the injuries he suffered as described in paragraph 6 through 9, above. Prior to 2011, Plaintiffs
22	did not learn about the Defendants' negligence or its causal role in the injuries each Plaintiff
23	suffered, and a reasonable inquiry by a teenage victim of sexual abuse would not have revealed
24	this information. Accordingly, each Plaintiff's claims are timely.
25	
26	

O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP

2	In failing to implement sufficient child abuse policies upon learning not later than the
3	1960s that predatory child molesters were using Scouting as a means to access victims,
4	Defendants acted with malice or a reckless and outrageous indifference to a highly unreasonable
5	risk of harm and with a conscious indifference to the health, safety and welfare of individual Boy
6	Scouts, including Plaintiffs. Each Plaintiff hereby provides notice of his intent to move to add
7	allegations of punitive damages against Defendants at any time after the filing of this Complaint.
8	
9 10	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Each Plaintiff Against All Defendants Fraud
11	31.
12	Each Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 30,
13	above.
14	32.
15	At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendants invited and encouraged each Plaintiff
16	to participate in the Scouting program that they administered and controlled, all the while
17	promoting their program as being safe and beneficial for boys, physically, emotionally, and
18	spiritually. This invitation created a special, fiduciary relationship as described in paragraphs 2
19	through 5, above, wherein each Plaintiff and his parents relied upon Defendants' expertise and
20	judgment in selecting morally upright men to lead Boy Scout Troops.
21	33.
22	No later than the 1960s, Defendants knew that Scouting posed a danger to adolescent
23	boys because historically noticeable numbers of the adult volunteers participating in Scouting
24	were discovered to be child molesters who used Scouting to gain access to and the trust of
25	Scouts, including Scouts such as Plaintiffs. In addition, no later than 1975, prior to his
26	installment as Scoutmaster of Troop 76 in Oregon, Defendants knew that Hill posed a specific

O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP

1	danger to adolescent boys because he was known to have previously sexually abused a boy scout
2	in California. Defendants had a duty to disclose known threats to the health and safety of the
3	minors involved with their organization. In the first alternative, Defendants' invitation to each
4	Plaintiff to participate in Scouting upon payment of a fee required Defendants to disclose all
5	matters material to the entering into the transaction, and the relative incidence of child
6	molestation by Scout Leaders as well as the specific dangerousness of Scout Leader Hill would
7	have been particularly material to each Plaintiff's decision to enter into the transaction with
8	Defendants. In the second alternative, Defendants actively concealed the problem of child
9	molestation by Scout leaders as well as the specific dangerousness of Scout Leader Hill, and no
10	duty to disclose is required to state a claim for fraud.
11	34.
12	Defendants' knowledge of the dangers and prevalence of child molesters in Scouting as
13	well as the specific dangerousness of Scout Leader Hill constituted material facts because
14	Plaintiffs would not have entered into a relationship with Defendants, the Scouting program, Hill,
15	or any other of Defendants' agents had they been aware of these facts. Defendants' knowledge of
16	the use of Scouting by child molesters, including Hill, in light of their failure to change the BSA
17	program, policies, or procedures that in the past had been frequently used by molesters to harm
18	boys, including Hill, amounted to knowingly allowing, permitting or encouraging child abuse
19	within the meaning of ORS 12.117.
20	35.
21	Defendants fraudulently misrepresented and failed to disclose, and/or actively concealed
22	the dangers and prevalence of child molesters in Scouting as well as the specific dangerousness
23	of Scout Leader Hill (the "Omissions").
24	36.
25	Defendants knew that the Omissions were false representations or made the Omissions
26	with reckless disregard for the truth. Defendants made the Omissions with the intent of inducing

Plaintiffs (and other children similarly situated), each Plaintiff's parents (and other parents and guardians similarly situated), and the community at large to rely on the Omissions and thereby 2 continue to trust Defendants and their agents. 3 37. 4 Each Plaintiff and his parents relied on the Omissions in allowing Plaintiffs to engage in a 5 trust relationship with Defendants and their agents. The reliance of each Plaintiff and his parents 6 was justified because they did not know, nor could they have known, that Defendants knew of a 7 decades-long history of child molesters using Scouting to obtain victims as well as a specific 8 history of such conduct by Scout Leader Hill. 9 38. 10 Each Plaintiff and his parents reasonably relied on the Omissions by Defendants, as well 11 as Defendants' conduct in maintaining the same policies and procedures for the Scouting 12 program, and reasonably believed that neither Scouting nor Scout Leader Hill posed a known 13 danger to Scouts. Each Plaintiff and his parents acted to their detriment in allowing Plaintiffs to 14 participate in Scouting based on this reliance. 15 39. 16 As a direct consequence of Defendants' Omissions as described in paragraphs 31 through 17 35, above, each Plaintiff suffered the molestation and damages as alleged in paragraphs 6, 7, 8, 18 and 9, above. By way of its Omissions, Defendants acted with malice or a reckless and 19 outrageous indifference to a highly unreasonable risk of harm and with a conscious indifference 20 to the health, safety and welfare of individual Boy Scouts, including Plaintiffs. Each Plaintiff 21 hereby provides notice of his intent to move to add allegations of punitive damages against 22 Defendants at any time after the filing of this Complaint. 23 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as 24 follows: 25 Non-economic damages for Plaintiff T.R. in the amount of \$5,000,000.00, the 1. 26 O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP

Page 14 COMPLAINT

1	exact amount	to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;
2	2.	Economic damages for Plaintiff T.R. in the amount of \$250,000.00, the exact
3	amount to be	determined by the jury at the time of trial;
4	3.	Non-economic damages for Plaintiff A.C. in the amount of \$5,000,000.00, the
5	exact amount	to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;
6	4.	Economic damages for Plaintiff A.C. in the amount of \$250,000.00, the exact
7	amount to be	determined by the jury at the time of trial;
8	5.	Non-economic damages for Plaintiff M.D. in the amount of \$5,000,000.00, the
9	exact amount	to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;
10	6.	Economic damages for Plaintiff M.D. in the amount of \$250,000.00, the exact
11	amount to be	determined by the jury at the time of trial;
12	7.	Non-economic damages for Plaintiff H.G. in the amount of \$5,000,000.00, the
13	exact amoun	t to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;
14	8.	Economic damages for Plaintiff H.G. in the amount of \$250,000.00, the exact
15	amount to be	determined by the jury at the time of trial;
16	9.	For Plaintiffs' costs and disbursements incurred; and
17	10.	For any other relief this Court deems just and equitable.
18		a Ha
19	DAT	ED this day of May, 2012.
20		O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP
21		Siegnisteren
22		Stephen F. Crew, OSB No. 781715 Peter Janci, OSB No. 074249
23		stevec@oandc.com peterj@oandc.com
24		Of Attorneys for Plaintiffs
25		Oj 11.0011070 J
26		

٠.	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON
1	MAY 10 2822 19 150
2	IN REGISTER CDR
3	
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON
5	FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY
6	T.R., an individual proceeding under a) 1205-05857
7	proceeding under a fictitious name; M.D.,
8	an individual proceeding under a fictitious name; and H.G., an individual proceeding DESIGNATION OF KNOWN PARTY
9	under a fictitious name, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, Proposition of the
10	v.)
11	THE BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA, a)
12	congressionally chartered corporation,) authorized to do business in Oregon; and)
13	CASCADE PACIFIC COUNCIL, BOY) SCOUTS OF AMERICA, an Oregon non-)
14	profit corporation,)
15	Defendants.)
16	MOTION
17	Plaintiffs, by and through counsel Kristian Roggendorf, hereby moves this Court for an
18	order allowing Plaintiffs to proceed under a fictitious name as set out in the above caption.
19	Plaintiffs have claims for damages arising out of sexual molestation as a minor.
20	CD1 1 4100 2 1 1 414 and 44 are will not be
20	prejudiced by Plaintiffs proceeding under a fictitious name. Plaintiffs' claims are sensitive and
	private in nature and proceeding under a fictitious name will minimize additional fear,
22	embarrassment, humiliation, and possible retaliation from third parties that public disclosure of
23	
24	Plaintiffs' identity might otherwise generate.
25	
26	////

EX PARTE MOTION AND ORDER FOR DESIGNATION OF KNOWN PARTY BY FICTITIOUS NAME

O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP FREMONT PLACE II 1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Suite 302 Portland, Oregon 97209 Telephone: (503) 306-0224 FAX: (503) 306-0257

•	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
2	Multnomah County SLR 2.035 provides:
3	In airil actions, the designation of a known party by a name other than the party's
4	true name shall be allowed only upon an order of the Court. If ordered, the
5	is reserved to be used for a party whose identity is unknown and the party is being
6	designated as provided in ORCP 20H.
7	DATED this day of May, 2012.
8	O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP
9	1
10	Stephen F. Crew, OSB/No. 781715
11	Pelel Janel, OSD No. 074247
12	O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP 1650 NW Naito Parkway, Suite 302
13	Portland, OR 97209 503-306-0224 Office
14	503-306-0257 Fax stevec@oandc.com
15	peterj@oandc.com
16	Of Attorneys for Plaintiff
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP FREMONT PLACE II 1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Suite 302 Portland, Oregon 97209 Telephone (503) 306-0224 FAX: (503) 306-0257

1	•		
2		ORDER	
3	The request for designation of Plaint	tiffs by a fictitious name is:	
4	Granted	□ Denied	
5	Plaintiffs shall be allowed to proceed	ed in this matter under the above caption.	
6	Dated this day of May	y, 2012.	
7	MAY OCH MIRE		
8		Presiding Judge	4: 34
9		-	
10	SUBMITTED BY: Peter Janci, OSB No. 074249		
11	O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP 1650 NW Naito Parkway, Suite 302		
12	Portland, OR 97209 503-306-0224 Office		
13	503-306-0224 Office 503-306-0257 Fax peterj@oandc.com		
14	Of Attorneys for Plaintiff		
15	Of Autorneys for I turning		
16			
17			
18			
19			•
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

EX PARTE MOTION AND ORDER FOR DESIGNATION OF KNOWN PARTY BY FICTITIOUS NAME

O'DONNELL CLARK & CREW LLP FREMONT PLACE II 1650 N W. Naito Parkway, Suite 302 Portland, Oregon 97209 Telephone: (503) 306-0224 FAX (503) 306-0257